SOUTH CAROLINA GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

COLUMIBA, SOUTH CAROLINA

SALUDA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT FERC NO. 516

DIADROMOUS FISH STUDIES 2005

American Eel (Anguilla rostrata) Survey

SEPTEMBER 2005

Prepared by:



SOUTH CAROLINA GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY COLUMIBA, SOUTH CAROLINA

SALUDA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT FERC NO. 516

DIADROMOUS FISH STUDIES 2005

American Eel (Anguilla rostrata) Survey

SEPTEMBER 2005

Prepared by:



SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY SALUDA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT FERC NO. 516

DIADROMOUS FISH STUDIES 2005

American Eel (Anguilla rostrata) Survey

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE AMERICAN EEL	2
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT STUDY AREA	3
Sampling Site Descriptions	4
The Spillway	4
Rawls Creek	4
Twelvemile Creek	4
USGS Gage Station	5
GENERAL METHODS AND DATA ACQUISITIONS	12
RESULTS	13
DISCUSSION	13
REFERENCES	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure A:	2005 Diadromous Fish Sampling Locations	6
Figure B:	Eel Pot Deployment Point at the USGS Gage Station	7
Figure C:	Bank View at the USGS Gage Station	7
Figure D:	USGS Gage Station	8
Figure E:	Lower Saluda River Downstream of USGS Gage Station	8
Figure F:	Eel Pot Deployment Point at Rawls Creek	9
Figure G:	View of Rawls Creek	9
Figure H:	Eel Pot Deployment Point at the Spillway	10
Figure I:	View of the Spillway	10
Figure J:	View of Pot Deployment Site at the Mouth of Twelvemile Creek	11
Figure K:	Mouth of Twelvemile Creek as it Enters the Lower Saluda River	11
Figure L:	Standard Eel Pot that was Used Throughout Sampling	12
Figure M:	Temperature and DO Data as Recorded at USGS Gage Number 02168504	19
Figure N:	Temperature and DO Data as Recorded at USGS Gage Number 02169000	20

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Diadromous Fish Studies – Eel Pot Surveys – USGS Gage Station	15
Table 2:	Diadromous Fish Studies – Eel Pot Surveys – Rawls Creek	16
Table 3:	Diadromous Fish Studies – Eel Pot Surveys – Spillway	17
Table 4:	Diadromous Fish Studies - Eel Pot Surveys - Corley Mill/Twelvemile Creek	18
Table 5:	Bycatch Collected by Location	19

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Meeting to Discuss the Development of the Diadromous Fish Study Plan November 10, 2004
- Appendix B: 2005 Diadromous Fish Study Plan

9-19-2005/CLB Z:\SCO\455\028\Diad Fish Eel Survey (9-16-2005).doc

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY SALUDA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT FERC NO. 516

DIADROMOUS FISH STUDIES 2005 American Eel (*Anguilla rostrata*) Survey

INTRODUCTION

The Saluda Hydroelectric Project (the Project), which is owned and operated by the South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G), is located in the midlands of South Carolina, astride the Saluda River. Nearly 10 miles west of the city of Columbia, the Project lies within the boundaries of Richland, Newberry, Saluda and Lexington Counties. As described in the Initial Consultation Document, the Project consists of Lake Murray, the Saluda Dam and its spillway, the back-up Saluda Berm, Saluda Powerhouse, intake towers and associated penstocks.

On April 29th of 2005, SCE&G filed the Notice of Intent (NOI) to relicense the Project with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), as well as issuing the Initial Consultation Document to the FERC and stakeholders. The current license is due to expire August 31, 2010.

In preparation for the relicensing of the Project, and in response to early study requests by the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources (SCDNR), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and NOAA Fisheries, SCE&G developed a Diadromous Fish Study Plan to document occurrence in Project waters. Resource agencies goals and objectives for the Santee Basin include the restoration of diadromous species. Target species include the American shad, hickory shad, blueback herring, shortnose sturgeon, Atlantic sturgeon, striped bass, and the American eel. After consultation with state and federal resource agencies (see meeting notes in Appendix A), SCE&G has developed, and carried out the activities specified in, the Diadromous Fish Study Plan (see Appendix B). These studies were conducted in order to answer the following objectives, as noted in the study plan; "(1) to document presence / absence of target diadromous fish species in the Lower Saluda River (LSR) and the upper Congaree River during the spring migratory period; (2) to determine the relative abundance and spatial and temporal

distributions of species found to be present in the reach; and (3) to document spawning of these species in the Saluda River relative to the Congaree River."

There is growing concern regarding the American eel and increasing debate arising as to whether the American eel's panmictic¹ populations are in jeopardy. However, it is generally agreed upon that more complete information in regards to the relative abundance and distribution of this species is needed. The purpose of this study is to provide insight into the current American eel population in the LSR. A report regarding the studies performed to document the presence/abundance, relative abundance, and spawning of blueback herring, hickory shad and American shad is being prepared under a separate cover.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE AMERICAN EEL

The American eel (*Anguilla rostrata*) is elongate and snake-like in shape, with a single continuous dorsal fin that extends to join with the caudal and anal fins. Though coloration changes throughout the development process, immature adult eels (yellow eels) usually range from yellow to greenish-brown in color. While sexually mature, adults (silver eels) obtain a metallic bronze or black coloration upon migration to spawning grounds.

Considered a unique species, the American eel is the only catadromous fish in North America; meaning that although they spend the majority of their life cycle in fresh or brackish water, they migrate to the ocean to spawn. While specific information regarding the spawning of the American eel is limited, it is documented that eel spawning grounds are located in the Sargasso Sea, a portion of the Atlantic Ocean south of Bermuda.

Due to their highly migratory behavior, eels utilize a variety of habitat types in order to complete their life cycle. Necessary habitat includes both open oceans and large coastal tributaries, as well as small freshwater streams, lakes and ponds. The life cycle of the eel consists of several distinct stages which include larval stages (pre-leptocephalus and leptocephalus), the glass eel stage, the elver, the yellow eel, and finally, the silver eel. Subsequent to hatching, the larval eel can be distinguished by its unusual willow-leaf shape and

¹ Panmictic – Species maintains a single spawning site (i.e. the Sargasso Sea) where random mating occurs between the members of this species.

transparent skin. Less than 2 inches long, the larval eel floats on currents for as long as a year in order to reach its destination, coastal estuaries. During this journey, the larval eel begins to develop adult body characteristics and grow to an approximate length of 2 inches. However, it does not become pigmented until reaching freshwater, hence the term glass eel. The elver stage is attained once the glass eel begins to ascend into brackish and freshwater streams. This stage is characterized by the pigmentation of the eels skin and growth to a length of around 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ inches. Slowly the eel matures into the yellow eel stage, which can take years. The yellow eel is considered the sexually immature adult form. While some yellow eels search out homes upstream, others remain in brackish waters until maturity. An immature eel's diet is variable and can consist of phytoplankton, insects, crustaceans and variety of fish species. It can take from 7 to 30 years for the eel to fully mature. This maturation process begins as the yellow eel begins its migration back to the Sargasso Sea to spawn. During seaward migration, eels cease feeding, and the eel takes on a metallic coloration as the body prepares itself for a saltwater environment. Little is known about the oceanic spawning migration. It is generally believed that an American eel dies after spawning, and does not return to freshwater.

The distribution of the American eel spans a large range throughout North America. A few, small populations have been found as far north as Greenland, and as far south as the northern coast of South America. In the United States, the American eel can be found along Atlantic coastal freshwaters and estuaries and as far inland as the Mississippi and Great Lakes drainages.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT STUDY AREA

All eel sampling was performed on the Lower Saluda River and select tributaries. The Lower Saluda River originates at the base of the Saluda dam and following a 10 mile stretch of free-flowing river, the LSR merges with the Broad River near downtown Columbia. Together the two rivers form the Congaree River. Both stream flow and water depth are highly variable along the LSR due to the influence of releases from the Saluda Hydro Project. Typically, water depths range from 3 to 15 feet with the minimum daily flow from the project averaging around 285 cfs. Eel pots were deployed at four points along the river below the Saluda Dam. These locations were chosen according to resource agency recommendations, and included: (1) the Saluda Dam spillway; (2) the mouth of Rawls creek adjacent to Saluda Shoals Park; (3) the

mouth of Twelvemile Creek; and (4) the LSR downstream of Interstate 26 near the USGS gage station (see Figure A). These locations are discussed in more detail below.

Sampling Site Descriptions

The Spillway

The concrete spillway consists of a 2,900-foot long man-made channel located approximately 500 feet from the south end of the Dam. The discharge from the spillway enters the channel which subsequently empties into the LSR below the powerhouse. The eel pot was positioned in the center of the spillway channel at the base of a bedrock outcropping (see Figures H & I). At this location, leakage flows from the spillway gates enter the river, providing a possible attraction site for eels. The bedrock ledge also provides a natural barrier at which eels may tend to congregate (M. Cantrell, USFWS, Pers. Comm).

Rawls Creek

Rawls Creek is a small, shallow tributary of the LSR approximately 1 mile downstream of the Saluda Dam. The eel pot was placed a short distance from the confluence with the LSR, within the confines of the Saluda Shoals Park. Flows from Saluda Hydro heavily influence stream depth, and the pot had to be repositioned at different points along the thickly vegetated bank in order to remain continuously submerged (see Figures F & G). In general, tributary water levels tend to be highly susceptible to urban run-off which causes water level fluctuations. This may be a factor influencing water level fluctuations in Rawls Creek.

Twelvemile Creek

Twelvemile Creek and Fourteenmile Creek are two small streams that merge together and enter the LSR approximately 4 miles downstream from the base of the dam. The mouth of Twelvemile Creek provides for a good mid-river deployment site for an eel pot. The pot was originally set off the bank at the base of the Corley Mill Dam (located approximately 0.5 miles from the mouth of Twelvemile Creek along Corley Mill Rd.), however, due to vandalism the pot had to be relocated to the mouth of the stream (see Figures J & K).

USGS Gage Station

Downstream from Interstate 26, an eel pot was deployed directly into the LSR at USGS Gage Station number 02169000, just upstream from Mill Race Rapids, approximately 1.6 miles upstream from the confluence. The water is on average 3 to 4 feet deep at the site of deployment. The bank is vegetated with overhanging shrubs and trees (see Figures B, C, D & E).









Figure C: Bank View at the USGS Gage Station (arrow indicates location of eel pot)



Figure D: USGS Gage Station



Figure E: Lower Saluda River Downstream of USGS Gage Station





Figure F:Eel Pot Deployment Point at Rawls Creek

Figure G:View of Rawls Creek
(with Eel Pot Deployment Point circled in red)





Figure H: Eel Pot Deployment Point at the Spillway

Figure I: View of the Spillway (with deployment site circled in red)



Figure J:View of Pot Deployment Site at the Mouth of Twelvemile Creek
(approximate position of pot circled in red)



Figure K: Mouth of Twelvemile Creek as it Enters the Lower Saluda River





Figure L: Standard Eel Pot that was Used Throughout Sampling

GENERAL METHODS AND DATA ACQUISITIONS

The eel pots used during the entirety of the sampling period consisted of double-entry, galvanized wire mesh cylinders, measuring about 2 ½ feet long (see Figure L). These particular pots were successful in sampling eels during previous studies performed on the St. Lawrence River (C. Frese, Kleinschmidt Associates, Pers. Comm.) Each pot was initially exclusively baited with herring. However, after several weeks with no eel catch, a combination of shrimp and sardines were also added in order provide a varying range of bait. Each pot was re-baited on two-week intervals or as needed. A 1 lb weight was also placed in the eel pots to insure that they remained submerged. The pots were deployed mid-channel and secured to the bank with a length of cord so that they were readily accessible. Moreover, in an attempt to decrease vandalism and disturbance, they were positioned in such a spot where they were not readily noticeable. Under the circumstance of vandalism or theft, the trap was replaced as soon as feasible.

Each eel pot was deployed at its respective sampling location on February 4, 2005 and allowed to fish continuously until early June, with the exception of when a pot was stolen or vandalized. The eel pots were inspected once a week under most circumstances. However, spring rains and high flows occasionally prevented access to the pots, and they would subsequently be checked when the water levels decreased. Any bycatch was field identified and released. Data recorded for each sample included such items as date, times in-&-out, length of eel, weight of eel, and any bycatch. Catch per unit of effort (CPUE) was to be calculated as well.

RESULTS

During the sampling period, eel pots were fished for a collective total of approximately 9972 trap hours; however, no eels were captured. As you can observe in Tables 1-4, there is a considerable number of trap hours for each location. Table 5 presents a species list of the bycatch by location. You will notice that several species of fish and crayfish were collected while attempting to sample eels.

Water quality conditions for the duration of the sampling period are depicted in graph form in Figures M and N. Figure M illustrates conditions as recorded at USGS gage number 02168504, located about 1000 feet downstream of the Saluda Dam on the LSR. Figure N presents data recorded at USGS gage number 02169000, located approximately 1.6 miles upstream from the confluence with the Broad River.

DISCUSSION

Eels are occasionally captured along the LSR during standardized fishery sampling performed by SCE&G and SCDNR. Hal Beard of SCDNR indicated that during his most recent fall sampling period he collected three eels total while electrofishing at ten sites along the Lower Saluda River. (H. Beard, SCDNR, Pers. Comm.). Similarly, Steve Summer of SCANA Services, Inc., noted that he captured one eel during standardized electrofishing conducted during April of 2005 (S. Summer, SCANA Services, Inc., Pers. Comm.). This information, coupled with the results of our sampling to date suggests that the numbers of eels in the LSR may be fairly low, although further sampling may be needed. It could also be surmised that the sampling method of eel pots may not be an effective sampling method for this region. As discussed previously, herring was initially exclusively used as bait. However, with several weeks of sampling to no avail, shrimp and sardines were also added to the herring as bait. Nevertheless, these efforts were shown to have no effect on eel trapping; and after several weeks, herring was again exclusively used as bait.

American eel sampling performed by Duke Power on the Wateree River, also in the Santee Basin, in support of their efforts to relicense the Catawba-Wateree Hydro Project (FERC No. 2232) is illustrated in the report entitled *Diadromous Fish Sampling in the Wateree River* – 2004 & 2005. It is noted therein that eel traps were fished at six different locations for a total of 116 trap weeks in 2005 with a collection rate of only 0.009 eels per trap week (1 eel for the duration of the sampling season). It is also noted that in 2004 there were no eels collected in the eel traps for the entirety of the sampling season. The low catch rate illustrated through sampling on the Wateree River appears consistent with the results achieved through 2005 LSR sampling efforts. Better success was illustrated through the use of an eel ramp and trap installed at the Catawba-Wateree Hydropower Project on the Wateree River; over 50 American eels were caught using this method. Sampling along the LSR will resume in February of 2006 with concurrence of the USFWS, NMFS and SCDNR. It has been preliminarily recommended by SCDNR and USFWS that sampling methods should be increased for the 2006 season and efforts be focused in the vicinity of the Saluda Dam. The USFWS has suggested investigations into an eel ramp and trap device similar to that at the Catawba-Wateree Hydropower Project for the Saluda Dam and Spillway.

DATE	TIME IN	TIME OUT	TOTAL EFFORT
2/4/2005*	11:20	11:30	
2/9/2005	9:00	9:10	117.5
2/11/2005	10:04	10:10	49
2/16/2005	1:47	1:54	123.5
2/23/2005	4:31	4:43	170.5
3/3/2005	8:43	8:54	184
3/10/2005	2:16	2:22	173.25
3/18/2005	9:46	10:00	187.25
3/24/2005	2:39	2:47	148.75
3/31/2005 ¹			
4/6/2005	1:45	2:05	311
$4/15/2005^{1}$	5:24		
4/20/2005	1:57	2:17	336
4/29/2005	12:10	12:15	214
5/9/2005	4:32	4:38	244.25
5/13/2005	9:44	9:56	89
5/19/2005	9:30	9:40	143.5
5/26/2005	11:26	11:36	169.75
6/7/2005**	10:31		263
Total			2924.25

 Table 1:
 Diadromous Fish Studies – Eel Pot Surveys – USGS Gage Station

* Date trap was deployed

** Date trap was retrieved

¹ Indicates a situation in which the trap could not be accessed due to a high water event or inclement weather situation

DATE	TIME IN	TIME OUT	TOTAL EFFORT
2/4/2005*	11:50	12:00	
2/9/2005	9:40	9:50	117.75
2/11/2005	10:29	10:42	48.5
2/16/2005	2:10	2:16	123.5
2/23/2005	2:54	3:11	168.75
$3/3/2005^2$	9:26	9:41	
$3/10/2005^3$		3:10	
3/18/2005	10:19	10:27	187
3/24/2005	3:06	3:10	148.5
3/31/2005 ¹	10:50		
4/6/2005	3:10	3:30	312
$4/15/2005^{1}$	4:06		
4/20/2005	2:55	3:21	335.5
4/25/2005	2:30	2:35	119
4/29/2005	11:47	11:52	93.25
5/9/2005	4:06	4:14	244
5/13/2005	10:16	10:23	90
5/19/2005	10:00	10:05	143.5
5/26/2005	12:08	12:27	170
6/7/2005**	10:12		285.75
Total			2587

Table 2: Diadromous Fish Studies – Eel Pot Surveys – Rawls Creek

* Date trap was deployed

** Date trap was retrieved

¹ Indicates a situation in which the trap could not be accessed due to a high water event or inclement weather situation

² Indicates a situation where the trap was either stolen or vandalized, and effort and contents of trap could not be assessed

³ Indicates when a new eel trap was deployed after an occurrence of vandalism or theft

DATE	TIME IN	TIME OUT	TOTAL EFFORT
2/4/2005*	12:05	12:30	
2/9/2005	10:00	10:10	117.5
2/11/2005	11:06	11:18	49
2/16/2005	2:33	2:43	123.25
2/23/2005	3:30	3:41	169
3/3/2005	9:58	10:12	186.25
3/10/2005	3:32	3:40	173.25
3/18/2005	10:47	10:57	187
$3/24/2005^{2}$	3:26		
$3/31/2005^3$	9:57	10:14	
4/6/2005	4:00	4:05	149.75
4/15/2005	4:27	4:40	216.5
4/20/2005	3:40	3:47	119
4/29/2005	11:27	11:31	211.75
5/9/2005	3:28	3:50	244
5/13/2005	10:40	10:52	90.75
5/19/2005 ^{2,3}	10:23	10:39	
5/26/2005 ²	12:48		
Total			2037

Table 3: Diadromous Fish Studies – Eel Pot Surveys – Spillway

* Date trap was deployed

** Date trap was retrieved

¹ Indicates a situation in which the trap could not be accessed due to a high water event or inclement weather situation

² Indicates a situation where the trap was either stolen or vandalized and effort and contents of trap could not be assessed

³ Indicates when a new eel trap was deployed after an occurrence of vandalism or theft

DATE	TIME IN	TIME OUT	TOTAL EFFORT
2/4/2005*	12:40	12:50	
2/9/2005	10:20	10:27	117.5
2/11/2005	11:35	11:40	49
2/16/2005	3:02	3:10	123.25
2/23/2005	3:55	4:01	168.75
$3/3/2005^2$	10:30		
$3/11/2005^3$		3:30	
3/18/2005	11:23	11:32	164
3/24/2005	3:56	4:01	148.5
3/31/2005	9:19	9:38	161.25
4/6/2005	2:23	2:35	149
4/15/2005	4:57	5:04	218.5
4/20/2005	4:17	4:25	119.25
4/29/2005	11:04	11:08	210.5
5/9/2005	2:57	3:10	244
5/13/2005	11:10	11:15	92
5/19/2005	10:58	11:03	143.75
5/26/2005	1:10	1:17	170
6/2/2005**	1:47		144.5
Total			2423.75

 Table 4:
 Diadromous Fish Studies – Eel Pot Surveys – Corley Mill/Twelvemile Creek

* Date trap was deployed

** Date trap was retrieved

¹ Indicates a situation in which the trap could not be accessed due to a high water event or inclement weather situation

² Indicates a situation where the trap was either stolen or vandalized and effort and contents of trap could not be assessed

³ Indicates when a new eel trap was deployed after an occurrence of vandalism or theft

Spillway		Rawls	Rawls Creek		Twelvemile Creek		Station
Species	Total	Species	Total	Species	Total	Species	Total
Collected	Number	Collected	Number	Collected	Number	Collected	Number
	Collected		Collected		Collected		Collected
	Per		Per		Per		Per
	Species		Species		Species		Species
redbreast	0	crayfish	5	tessellated	2	pirate	3
sunfish	0	sp.	5	darter	3	perch	5
bluegill	22			bluegill	2	tessellated	3
					<u>∠</u>	darter	5
margined	6			pirate perch	2	crayfish	17
madtom	0				Z	sp.	17
crayfish	Λ			bluehead	1		
sp.	4			chub	1		
				crayfish sp.	38		

Table 5:Bycatch Collected by Location

Figure M:Temperature and DO Data as Recorded at USGS Gage
Number 02168504 for the Duration of the Sampling Period



Figure N: Temperature and DO Data as Recorded at USGS Gage Number 02169000 for the Duration of the Sampling Period



REFERENCES

- Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 2000. Interstate Fishery Management Plan for the American Eel. Report No. 36; 79pp.
- Coughlan, D. J., B. K. Baker, D. H. Barwick, G. E. Vaughan, A. B. Garner, W. R. Doby, J. E. Derwort, W. M. Rash, and GeoSyntec, Inc. 2005. Diadromous Fish Sampling in the Wateree River 2004 & 2005. Catawba-Wateree Hydro Project, FERC No. 2232; 74pp.

APPENDIX A

MEETING TO DISCUSS THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE DIADROMOUS FISH STUDY PLAN NOVEMBER 10, 2004

Saluda Hydro Relicensing – Diadromous Fish Study Meeting – November 10, 2004 Meeting Location – SCE&G Training Center – Columbia, SC

Revision 12-10-04

Attendees:

Steve Summer	SCE&G	Dick Christie	SCDNR
Bill Argentieri	SCE&G	Steve Leach	SCDNR
Kristina Massey	SCE&G	Hal Beard	SCDNR
Randy Mahan	SCE&G	Amanda Hill	USFWS
Alan Stuart	Kleinschmidt	Mark Cantrell	USFWS
Shane Boring	Kleinschmidt	Alison Guth	Kleinschmidt

Action Items:

- Prepare a study plan for sampling diadromous fish on the Lower Saluda River and distribute to the resource agencies for review and comment.
- Obtain and distribute D.O. and flow data to the agencies. SCE&G will obtain data from the USGS.
- Organize canoes, transportation, etc. that is needed for a low flow float trip on the lower Saluda on the 29th of November (to be taken care of by Alison).
- Set up meeting with Prescott Brownell of NOAA fisheries about sturgeon issues.
- Check on permitting for studies, who needs to be there?
- Steve Leach and Mark Cantrell said that they could provide an electronic copy of the Santee Cooper Basin Diadromous Fish Passage Restoration Plan to anyone who needs it.

Meeting Notes:

These notes summarize the major items discussed during the meeting and are not intended to be a transcript or analysis of the meeting.

Alan Stuart opened the meeting at 10:00 AM and noted that the focus of the meeting would be to discuss: (1) Target Species and Restoration Plans for the Lower Saluda River (LSR), (2) Historical data needs, (3) NOAA shortnose sturgeon sampling permit, (4) Lower Saluda River Sampling logistics, (5) Sampling in Lake Murray tributaries and, (6) Establish a date for low flow float trip on the Lower Saluda River & above Lake Murray.

Target Species and Restoration Plans:

The agencies began this discussion by briefly stating the target species that they would like to see included in the diadromous fish study. The fish mentioned include blueback herring, hickory and American shad, American eel, Atlantic and shortnose sturgeon, and striped bass. Dick Christie noted that the Broad River Basin is considered number one (most promising) for fish restoration in the Santee Cooper Diadromous Fish Passage Restoration Plan. He also mentioned that the restoration plan is considered a general, wide-reaching plan.

The group decided that more studies need to be performed in order for the agencies to more fully develop their restoration plan, which is considered a living document.

Historical Data Needs:

Mark Cantrell mentioned that the flows into Lake Murray vs. the flows out of Lake Murray would provide great comparison data from which to figure out a fish's response to flow. Amanda Hill mentioned that she would like to look at temperature distributions as requested by Doug Cooke. Simple temperature data comparing the Broad River and LSR may rule out the presence of sturgeon.

Amanda Hill stated that it would be helpful to know the temperature data above and below the dam. This would allow the agencies to determine how far downstream the project influences. Steve Leach brought up the possibility of using USGS as a source for temperature data, possibly from the last 10-15 years. Dick Christie concurred that January through August would be good months to look at in regards to temperatures, flows, etc.

Amanda Hill asked if there were temperature and D.O. monitors on the Congaree itself. In response, it was stated that there was only stage data on Gervais Street. Also that there is possible data for city at sewer plant, which needs to be checked into. Moreover, around October of '88 through the present there should be data available at the dam. The agencies asked SCE&G to investigate locations for additional monitors, and the agencies will provide what data they already have. It was pointed out that SCDHEC may have some data prior to 1988.

Mark Cantrell of the USFWS asked how the project operations have changed since they first began. In response, Kristina Massey stated that since there is no flood storage pool, the project has always operated to pass large inflows so the dam won't be overtopped. Up to the late 1950s, the project was operated as a base-load facility, and the lake fluctuated much more than it does at the present. From the 1960s to 1990s, the project moved into a load-following and peaking mode, generating when power was most needed on the system and reducing the amount of annual lake fluctuation. The annual flow of water through the system has remained relatively unchanged. Currently the project is used primarily to meet system reserve needs.

Alan inquired as to where the striped bass fit into the study plan. Hal Beard replied that the striped bass use the river for refuge and then they leave and no one is sure when they arrive, what the environmental demands are and where they go. It is possible that over-exploitation could occur. Although inconclusive, work conducted by Gene Hayes suggests that, to some degree, landlocked striped bass may utilize the Upper Saluda River as spawning area. Generally, the LSR is a two-tiered fishery, trout in the winter and striped bass in the summer. Hal continued to mention that there is also concern that the striped bass could become genetically depressed due to the over fishing of the best individuals.

Mark Cantrell would like to know how stripers have been sampled historically. The group stated that the sampling performed by Hal Beard is the first sampling that has been done on a regular basis. Hal indicated he usually samples in May/October. Dick suggested that IFIM study work has been done by Isley and Jobsis.

NOAA Shortnose Sturgeon sampling permit:

• Will be discussed in a meeting with Prescott Brownell

Saluda River Sampling Logistics: Gill Netting:

When:	Start in the beginning of March (1x a week) then increase to 3x's a week from the third week in March through April 4am to 10am or 2pm to 8pm.
Where:	One gillnet near the mouth of the Saluda River near the Congaree River and one towards the dam.
Supplies:	$2\frac{1}{2}$ " to 7" stretch mesh nets. One net should be constructed of 2.5-inch stretched mesh, the other of 5-inch stretched mesh.
How:	Possibly set at an angle to the bank. Fish two nets (one net of each mesh size) at each site, to cover approximately one half of the river's width if possible.

The group began to discuss gillnetting and its caveats. Hal Beard mentioned that he will be interested in how the gill netting is going to be performed; he has not had much luck with it in the past. However, he has achieved the most luck with it when the nets were set at an angle to the bank, rather than perpendicular. When considering a site for the net, one must consider both access and velocity. (i.e., Is velocity going to increase fish catch?)

According to Dick, in order to target American shad and blueback herring, the smallest mesh size needs to be $2\frac{1}{2}$ " for smaller fish and as much as 7" for larger adults. Moreover, net panels need to be made to the right length and height in order to cover the channel. The group mentioned that SCE&G may want to test the feasibility of gillnetting in 2004.

Mark Cantrell clarified that the goals of this early study were as follows: to determine the presence or absence of target species, what their distribution is in the habitat, and where along the river they are located.

Kristina brought up the fact that flows may be a serious setback when gillnetting, all depending on how wet of a winter and spring we have.

The group decided that sampling should occur in 6 hour time periods. The time period for setting and monitoring gill nets should be during either 2:00 pm -8:00 pm, or possibly 4:00 am to 10:00 am. According to Dick Christie there should be a gill net set up at least at one site around the mouth of the Saluda River at the Congaree River and one in the upper reaches, near the dam (Saluda Shoals). Hal Beard suggested that one of the nets should be located about 100m below the zoo bridge.

Alan suggested using the passage rates at St Stephens as a catalyst to increase sampling efforts in the LSR. Coordination with SCDNR, as was done during the relicensing of

Columbia Hydro, was proposed. There needs to be coordination with Doug Cooke and Steve Leach to find out when the fish are being passed. Steve Leach responded that the peak at Pinopolis Dam occurs around March 7th and at St. Stevens around the 20th of March.

The discussion turned to possible sampling times and dates. It was mentioned that SCE&G may only need to sample using gill nets once a week until end of March, beginning of April, and then increase up to around 3x's a week. Hal cautioned against sampling too far into April because of the large amount of stripers.

The agencies indicated that it may be acceptable to electrofish while gill nets were soaking.

Note: *The following comments and clarifications were made by the resource agencies following the meeting*: Starting in February, set nets once a week for one run. A run will include setting nets at each site and then returning to the first site to retrieve the nets. The nets should be allowed to fish for at least 4 hours. In addition to sampling for early run fish, this would allow for resolution of problems associated with access, site selection, and various trip-based logistical problems to be addressed.

After notification of "significant" alosine passage at the Santee Cooper dams, increase sampling dates to twice per week (The agencies suggested shooting for Monday and Thursdays, to allow for some variation due to hazardous weather conditions).

The sites should be run at least twice in a day, so that nets are checked without removing from the water, if possible, on the first run, and then retrieved on the second or third trip. The goal is to fish the nets for as much of the daylight period as possible. The number of trips will be dependent on the amount of time required to make one run of the nets, travel time, etc. and can be adjusted accordingly. Nets should be fished in this mode through April and then reduced to one run (on one day) per week through May if alosine catch has decreased significantly.

The sites should be determined by locating adequate fishing habitat in close proximity to a private, public or improvised launching facility. Ideally, three sampling locations should be sampled. These locations should roughly correspond to upper, middle and lower sections of the river. A potential upper-river site should be near the SCE&G ramp at Saluda Shoals. The middle river should be generally between Fourteen Mile Creek and the Interstate 20 Bridge; the lower-river site suggested is in the vicinity of Riverbanks Zoo. Actual locations may have to be adjusted at the time of sampling due to varying flow conditions.

One additional site in the Congaree River near the confluence of the Broad and Saluda Rivers would provide information on relative abundance of fish in the river and provide indications as to whether they are selecting for the Saluda or Congaree. Sampling with the same techniques and timing as in the Saluda River would also provide insight to the effectiveness of gear and techniques, and was strongly encouraged by the agencies. Fishing near the Rosewood landing on Congaree River may prove suitable for this site.

If the catch of non-target species is high at any of the sampling sites, the length of time nets are fished can be shortened to reduce by-catch.

<u>Eel Traps:</u>

When:February to AprilWhere:At the mouths of rivers, channels and islands

Supplies: Eel pots can possibly be ordered from Wildco

Amanda Hill of FWS mentioned that they would like eel pots to be set at the mouths of rivers, channels and islands and that they were looking for potential elvers. She also stated that these would not be as laborious as gillnetting, the eel traps only needed to be checked every couple of days. Hal Beard indicated that in the past he has caught about three eels in a 10 day sampling season on average, and also that he had 5 yrs of data. Amanda replied that she would like to get that data from him if at all possible. The group mentioned that the first step was to compile as much historical data as possible.

The discussion turned to time periods in which to sample. Mark Cantrell said that February to April would be the best time to deploy eel pots.

The USFWS will provide info on equipment suppliers such as Wildco.

Note: *The following comments and clarifications were made by the resource agencies following the meeting*: Efforts should be made to determine whether eel traps can be fished on a corresponding schedule with gill nets sets. If locations as previously described (e.g. creek entrances) can be located near gill net sites, they should be utilized. Eel traps should be set there upon first deployment of the day, and checked at the end of the day. They could also be left set until the next trip (once twice a weekly sampling starts), when they should be checked and re-baited.

<u>Plankton Nets</u>:

When:	While gill netting
Where:	DNR would prefer that plankton nets be set to fish off the bottom
Supplies	$\frac{1}{2}$ meter, 220/500 micron single nets, possibly with flow meter attached

Amanda Hill mentioned that they would like SCE&G to put up fixed plankton nets to gather eggs and larvae. In response, Alan mentioned that if gillnetting and electrofishing provide no results, plankton nets may be unnecessary. Amanda said that plankton nets are just another way of determining presence or absence, and they are definitely needed during the spring of 2005, if nothing else.

In regards to the nets themselves, Mark Cantrell mentioned that they would prefer tows but it may be difficult to do in the river, so maybe stationary nets would be better for a given period of time. Moreover, in regards to catching herring, shad and stripers, Mark pointed out

that ¹/₂ meter, 220 micron would perform the best. He also stated that a flow meter would provide volume measurements, but you would need a meter attached to each net unless they are paired closely together. The group decided that single nets, not bongo nets, should be used. DNR would prefer that the river was fished off the bottom.

It was concluded that plankton nets can be sampled while electrofishing and gillnetting are taking place.

Note: *The following comments and clarifications were made by the resource agencies following the meeting*: Efforts should be made to fish plankton nets in conjunction with gillnets. Plankton nets may be anchored after the first gill net set at each site and retrieved upon the last gillnet retrieval of the day. This will allow for filtering the maximum volume of water during low flow periods, increasing the likelihood of sampling alosine eggs and larvae. However, if clogging with vegetation, detritus, etc. becomes problematic, plankton nets may be retrieved at the retrieval stage of the first run for gillnets each day. If clogging is still problematic, then shorter sampling times should be investigated.

Telemetry Study:

FWS expressed the desire to have a telemetry study preformed with some sentinel fish for American shad. This study will help the agencies determine if the shad utilize the Broad and LSR or just the Broad River. Also, if they have thermal preferences and selection based upon the water temperature. Dick Christie believes it would be a good idea to do this because we do not know where they go. Dick Christie also mentioned that it would benefit SCE&G if the American Shad went up the Broad River.

Kristina made the point that if we were going to do this it needed to be done right, and it may be too late to put it together properly by the springtime.

It was discussed that the fish would probably be tagged in Pinopolis. However, SCE&G does not want to study the whole basin just to determine presence in the LSR. Alan suggested that it could possibly be combined with the Columbia fish passage project effectiveness testing and yield more information and better results. It was suggested that fish needed for the effectiveness tracking effort could be obtained from the Congaree River.

In the end, it was decided that telemetry will be performed as a second phase, along with studies associated with the Columbia Hydro Fish Passage Testing.

Temperature Monitoring:

The influence of the project, water temperature wise, downstream was again brought up. Mark Cantrell mentioned the possible need for temperature monitoring downstream, to the Congaree. Moreover, the most likely time that water temperature is affected is in the summer and fall. Amanda Hill stated that describing the thermal environment of the LSR would help determine if a possible temperature difference influences a fish's choice of subbasin. In regards to location, it was stated that there should be temperature sensors 1 mile downstream of dam and 1 mile upstream from zoo. Steve Summer mentioned that SCE&G could put some tidbits (temperature recorders) near the confluence on the left and right banks. Mark Cantrell suggested that they do a transect across the river and decide where equilibrium is reached in mixing of both rivers. However SCE&G mentioned that quite a few transects would be needed to determine this, which may be difficult. Steve Summer suggested that one tidbit should be placed in the Saluda and one in the Broad River near the confluence just to track the differences for now. Mark Cantrell stated that the tidbit needs to be positioned towards the bottom but still in the water column. SCE&G mentioned that there are continuous temperature monitors in the Saluda River about 1,000 feet downstream of the hydro plant, and upstream of the zoo that are operated by USGS. It was also mentioned that there is a continuous temperature monitor in the Broad River immediately downstream of Parr Hydro, also operated by the USGS. Data from all three of these gages is available on the USGS website.

Steve Leach stated that the preferred spawning water temperature range for sturgeon is 7-18 degrees C. He also pointed out that the divergence of water temperatures between the Broad and LSR begins earlier in year then previously thought, begins around April, and is also more of an obvious difference what was once thought.

Hal Beard pointed out that it is possible that fish orient themselves toward flow instead of temperature.

It was decided that this study would be "tabled" as well.

Sampling in Lake Murray tributaries:

The agencies indicated that they would like an evaluation of potential spawning areas in the Lake and in tributaries. Amanda Hill stated that a characterization of the physical habitat below the dam and above the Lake would be helpful. This can possibly be submitted in GIS format, and would be used to determine if there is potential diadromous fish spawning habitat.

Hal Beard pointed out that Gene Hayes did some cursory work to determine if stripers could possibly be reproducing in middle Saluda, and his determination concluded that numbers were insignificant.

"Tabled" Studies

- Telemetry Study
- Temperature Monitoring in LSR and Congaree.
- Will possibly do a future Habitat Evaluation if it is in conjunction with a required flow study.
- Will determine need of habitat study after video fly-over and float trip.

Low Flow Float Trip on the Lower Saluda River:

The meeting concluded with a discussion of the canoe trip that was going to be taking place on the Lower Saluda River during low flows (400-500 cfs). It was determined that the 29th of November was the best date for everyone.

Amanda and Alan will both ask Prescott Brownell to attend.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:00 pm.

APPENDIX B

2005 DIADROMOUS FISH STUDY PLAN

Saluda Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 516) Study Plan

Study Plan Name: 2005 Diadromous Fish Studies **Applicable Hydro Projects:** Saluda Hydro FERC No. 516

I. <u>Study Objective</u>

The objectives of this study are: (1) to document presence / absence of target diadromous fish species in the Lower Saluda River (LSR) and the upper Congaree River during the spring migratory period; (2) to determine the relative abundance and spatial and temporal distributions of species found to be present in the reach; and (3) to document spawning of these species in the Saluda River relative to the Congaree River. Target anadromous species for the study include American shad (*Alosa sappadissima*), hickory shad (*Alosa mediocris*), and blueback herring (*Alosa aestivalis*). One catadromous species, the American eel (*Anguila rostrata*), will also be targeted. The following tasks will be necessary to meet this objective:

- a) Review and evaluation of historical records of target diadromous fish species occurrence in the Saluda-Congaree portion of the Santee Cooper River Basin, and
- b) Sampling of the LSR and upper Congaree River for target diadromous species during the spring spawning season.

II. <u>Basis</u>

Restoration of anadromous clupeids to South Carolina waters has become an important objective of resource agencies. Each spring, efforts to pass migrating American shad and blueback herring are undertaken at the first barriers to migration in the Santee - Cooper system. Once passed, these fish have several migration pathways from which to choose. One such pathway results in these fish entering the Saluda River near Columbia. The relative abundance and potential spawning of this segment of the population is of particular interest to managers.

The FERC licensing process requires an assessment of potential impacts to fish and wildlife resources by the project and its operations (18CFR4.51). The United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) has mandatory conditioning authority for fishway prescriptions at all FERC licensed hydro projects; and the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration – National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries) has similar mandatory conditioning authority where anadromous and/or catadromous species are involved.

III. Geographic and Temporal Scope

Diadromous fish studies will focus on the Lower Saluda River (LSR), from downstream of Saluda Hydro Dam to its confluence with the Broad River, and the upper Congaree River, from its origin at the confluence of the Saluda and Broad rivers to Rosewood Boat Landing. Studies are scheduled to begin in February 2005, with a final report issued by December 31, 2005.

IV. <u>Summary of Existing Data</u>

The South Carolina Department of Natural Resources (SCDNR), USFWS, and NOAA Fisheries have collaborated to develop the Santee Cooper Basin Diadromous Fish Passage Restoration Plan (USFWS et al. 2001), which has been submitted to and accepted by FERC as a Comprehensive Plan under Section 10(a)(2)(a) of the Federal Power Act. The plan identifies the Saluda River as being less than optimal for diadromous fish restoration efforts for a variety of reasons including: the large number of dams in the basin (approximately 13); the limited number of river miles available to upstream migrating fish prior to reaching the Saluda Hydro Dam (approximately 10); and the cost and potential biological limitation (i.e., pressure-related impacts to outmigrating fish) of establishing fish passage at the Saluda Hydro Dam. In addition, cold hypolimnetic water released from the Saluda Hydro Dam may cause migrating fish to select the warmer water of the Broad River and not enter the Saluda (USFWS et al. 2001).

According to two recent reviews (Welch 2000, Newcomb and Fuller 2001), the target species noted above (American shad, hickory shad, blueback herring, and American eel) are among the diadromous fish species that occurred historically in Saluda-Congaree sub-basin. Shortnose sturgeon (*Acipenser brevirostrum*) and Atlantic sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus*) also occurred historically in the sub-basin; however, these species have not been recently documented in the study area upstream of old Granby Lock and Dam. While some limited fish passage above old Granby Lock and Dam may be possible through the abandoned lock or during high flows, passage may be hindered for bottom-oriented species (USFWS et al. 2001) such as sturgeons.

V. <u>Methodology</u>

a) Review of Historical Distributions of Target Species

Two reviews of historical occurrences of target species in the Santee-Cooper River Basin have been completed (Welch 2000, Newcomb and Fuller 2001). These two reports, along with any relevant supplemental information that can be acquired from study participants and resource agencies, will be reviewed and used to update historical distribution patterns in the Saluda-Congaree sub-basin.

b) Sampling of Target Species

Gillnetting

Adult American shad, hickory shad, and blueback herring will be sampled using gillnetting methods during the 2005 spawning season. Sampling for target species will occur at the following four locations (Figure 1):

- 1. The LSR at Hope Ferry Landing;
- 2. The LSR upstream of the Gardendale Canoe Landing;
- 3. The LSR adjacent to Riverbanks Zoo; and
- 4. The Congaree River in the vicinity of Rosewood Landing.

Beginning on or around February 1 of each sampling year, gillnets will be set once per week for one run. A typical run during this period will include setting nets at each site and then returning to the first site to retrieve the nets. Nets will be set during daylight hours and

fished for at least 4 hours. In addition to sampling for early run fish, this would allow for resolution of problems associated with access, site selection, and for various trip-based logistical problems to be addressed. This sampling schedule will continue through March 1, or until notification is received from the SCDNR that significant numbers of anadromous alosids have begun to move through the St. Stephens Fish Lift at Pineopolis Dam.

Following notification of significant movements of alosids at St. Stephens, sampling will increase to twice per week. During this period, sampling sites will be run at least twice in a day. Following deployment, nets will be checked without being removed from the water on the first run (if possible), and then retrieved on the second or third trip. Nets will be fished for as much of the daylight period as possible, with the number of trips dependent on the amount of time required to make one run of the nets, travel time, etc. Twice-per-week sampling will continue on this schedule through April of each sampling year.

Beginning on or around May 1, sampling will be reduced to once per week and will continue until approximately June 1. Sampling during this period will follow the once-per-week sampling regime as described above.

Gillnetting will utilize two 100 ft-long (30.5 m) monofilament gill nets at each sampling location: (1) one -30 m x 2 m, 2.5 in (6.4 cm) stretch mesh; and (2) one -30 m x 2 m, 5 in (12.7 cm) stretch mesh. Each net will be set perpendicular or at an angle to the shore, with the larger mesh net set downstream of the smaller. All fish collected in the gill nets will be identified to species, weighed (0.1 kg), measured for total length (mm), sexed (if possible without sacrificing), and released alive when possible. A measurement of water temperature (°C) and dissolved oxygen (mg/L) will also be taken at each location.

Survey data will be evaluated for presence or absence of diadromous species known to have occurred historically in this reach of the Saluda/Congaree sub-basin. In addition, a species list will be compiled of all species encountered during the study. Catch per Unit Effort (number of fish/net hours fished) will be determined and presented in the final report. Data will be compared by date and location.

Ichthyoplankton Sampling

Ichthyoplankton nets will be fished in conjunction with gillnets, whenever possible. Specifically, one plankton net (0.5 m x 1 m, 1.0 mm mesh; surface and bottom), equipped with flowmeter, will be fished in the general vicinity of each gillnetting location. Nets will be anchored facing upstream in sufficient flow to sample effectively. Nets will be deployed after the first gillnet is set at each location and allowed to fish for four hours. If no ichthyoplankton are collected, or if clogging of the net proves to be problematic, the length of time that the nets are fished may need to be adjusted in consultation with the resource agencies.

Ichthyoplankton samples will be preserved in Buffered Neutral Formalin (BNF) and returned to the laboratory for identification. All alosid larvae and eggs will be measured for standard length (0.1 mm) and identified to the lowest possible taxon. Larval densities (number / cm^3) will be calculated, compared by date and location, and presented in the final report.

American Eel Sampling

Eel Traps will be baited and allowed to fish undisturbed for two days each week from February through May. Traps will also be deployed at the following locations to document presence/absence and relative abundance of adult and juvenile American eels:

- 1. The LSR at the mouth of the Saluda Dam spillway;
- 2. The mouth of Rawls Creek adjacent to Saluda Shoals Park;
- 3. The mouth of Twelvemile Mile Creek or the base of Corley Mill Dam, depending on suitable access; and
- 4. The LSR downstream of Interstate 26 near the USGS gage station.

All captured eels will be identified, measured for total length (0.1 mm), examined and released and the location of capture will be noted.

VI. <u>Schedule and Required Conditions</u>

- a) The review of historical occurrences of target diadromous fish species in the Saluda/Congaree sub-basin will be completed by the end of February 2005.
- b) Sampling for target diadromous species below the Saluda Hydro Dam will be conducted from February through May during 2005. A draft report summarizing the 2005 sampling results will be issued by November 1, 2005, with a final report issued by December 31, 2005. The final report will include all sampling results and conclusions regarding presence and population status of diadromous species, as well as a summary of historical distributions in the area.

VII. Use of Study Results

Results of the diadromous fish study will be used as an information resource during discussion of relicensing issues with the SCDNR, USFWS, relicensing issue working groups and other relicensing stakeholders.

	NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE	E-MAIL
Applicant	Stephen E. Summer	SCANA Services	(803)217-7357	ssummer@scana.com
Leads				
	Alan W. Stuart	Kleinschmidt	(803)822-3177	alan.stuart@kleinschmidtu
				<u>sa.com</u>
	Shane Boring	Kleinschmidt	(803)822-3177	shane.boring@kleinschmid
				<u>tusa.com</u>
Agency Leads	Dick Christie	SCDNR	(803)289-7022	dchristie@infoave.net
	Amanda Hill	USFWS	(843)727-4707, x24	Amanda_hill@fws.gov
	Prescott Brownell	NOAA Fisheries	(843)762-8591	Prescott.brownell@noaa.g
				<u>ov</u>
Other	William Argentieri	SCE&G	(803)217-9162	bargentieri@scana.com
Participants	Randy Mahan	SCANA Services	(803)217-9538	rmahan@scana.com

VIII. Study Participants

IX. List of Attachments

ATTACHMENT A: Map of Diadromous Fish Sampling Locations on the Lower Saluda and Upper Congaree Rivers

ATTACHMENT B: Meeting Notes from November 10, 2004, Diadromous Fish Study Meeting

ATTACHMENT C: Sampling Recommendations Provided by Resource Agencies (Received via e-mail December 8, 2004)

X. List of References

- Newcomb, T.J. and J.S. Fuller. 2001. Anadromous and catadromous fish survey of Santee/Cooper Basin in North Carolina and South Carolina. Department of Fisheries and Wildlife Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA. Final Report, Prepared for Duke Power, June 25, 2001. 25 pp.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, and South Carolina Department of Natural Resources. 2001. Santee-Cooper Basin diadromous fish passage restoration plan.
- Welch, S.M. 2000. A report on the historical inland migrations of several diadromous fishes in South Carolina Rivers. Department of Aquaculture, Fisheries and Wildlife, Clemson University, Clemson, SC. Report prepared for Mr. Douglas W. Cook, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources. December 4, 2000. 19 pp.

ATTACHMENT C

Sampling Recommendations Provided by Resource Agencies (Received via e-mail from SCDNR on December 8, 2004, with concurrence from USFWS on December 7, 2004)

Sampling Recommendations Provided by Resource Agencies

RE: <u>Saluda River Sampling Logistics</u> <u>Gill netting:</u>

After discussion with various biologists in the area we suggest the following changes to the gillnetting sampling regime that was originally discussed on November 10, 2004. These changes are designed to most effectively sample for determination of spatial and temporal distributions of alosine fishes in the system and to begin to generate an index of quantity. The general concept is to set nets near sites with boat access, so that after nets are set at one site, the boat can be trailered to the next site. For these first year studies, it is assumed that changes will need to be occur to compensate for unforeseen or as of yet poorly understood issues of manpower, river levels, site selection, gear applicability and other factors.

When: Starting in February, set nets once a week for one run. A run will include setting nets at each site and then returning to the first site to retrieve the nets. The nets should be allowed to fish for at least 4 hours. In addition to sampling for early run fish, this would allow for resolution of problems associated with access, site selection, and various trip-based logistical problems to be addressed.

After notification of "significant" alosine passage at the Santee Cooper dams, increase sampling dates to twice per week (We'd suggest shooting for Monday and Thursdays, to allow for some variation due to hazardous weather conditions).

The sites should be run at least twice in a day, so that nets are checked without removing from the water, if possible, on the first run, and then retrieved on the second or third trip. The goal is to fish the nets for as much of the daylight period as possible. The number of trips will be dependent on the amount of time required to make one run of the nets, travel time, etc. and can be adjusted accordingly. Nets should be fished in this mode through April and then reduced to one run (on one day) per week through May if alosine catch has decreased significantly.

NOTE: length of sets, etc. should be adjusted if impacts to other species are discovered.

Where: The sites should be determined by locating adequate fishing habitat in close proximity to a private, public or improvised launching facility. Three locations spread out along the river should be sampled, allowing managers to determine if fish are ascending rapids and are present at the dam. The locations should roughly correspond to upper, middle and lower sections of the river. A probable upper-river site should be near the SCE&G ramp at Saluda Dam. The actual gill netting site may have to be adjusted in varying flow conditions. The middle river should be generally between Fourteen Mile Creek and the Interstate 20 Bridge; the lower-river site suggested is in the vicinity of Riverbanks Zoo. As noted; actual locations may have to be adjusted due to varying flow conditions.

One additional site in the Congaree River near the confluence of the Broad and Saluda Rivers would provide information on relative abundance of fish available to use the Saluda River, and is strongly encouraged. Sampling with the same techniques and timing as in the Saluda River would provide insight to the effectiveness of gear and techniques. Fishing near the Rosewood landing on Congaree River may prove suitable for this site.

Supplies: Fish two nets at each site, approximately one half of the river's width. One net should be constructed of 2.5-inch stretched mesh, the other of 5-inch stretched mesh.

How: Nets should be "set" from the riverbank out perpendicular or angled to the shoreline, depending on flow conditions. Larger mesh nets should be fished downstream of the smaller mesh nets.

<u>Eel Traps</u>

Efforts should be made to determine whether eel traps can be fished on a corresponding schedule with gill nets sets. If locations as previously described (e.g. creek entrances) can be located near gill net sites, they should be utilized. Eel traps should be set their upon first deployment of the day, and checked at the end of the day, but probably may be left set until the next trip (once twice a weekly sampling starts). On the next trip, eel traps should be checked and re-baited.

<u>Plankton nets</u>

Efforts should be made to fish plankton nets in conjunction with gillnets. Plankton nets may be anchored after the first gill net set at each site and retrieved upon the last gillnet retrieval of the day. This will allow for filtering the maximum volume of water during low flow periods, increasing the likelihood of sampling alosine eggs and larvae. However, if clogging with vegetation, detritus, etc. becomes problematic, plankton nets may be retrieved at the retrieval stage of the first run for gillnets each day. If clogging is still problematic, then shorter sampling times should be investigated.